	Case 1:22-cv-01357-BAM Document	3 Filed 10/25/22 Page 1 of 2	
1			
2			
3			
4			
5			
6			
7			
8	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT		
9	EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA		
10			
11	CARL FOUST,	Case No. 1:22-cv-01357-BAM (PC)	
12	Plaintiff,	ORDER TRANSFERRING CASE TO THE	
13	v.	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
14	ALLEN, et al.,		
15	Defendants.		
16			
17	Plaintiff Carl Foust ("Plaintiff"), a state prisoner proceeding pro se, has filed a civil rights		
18	action pursuant to 42 U.S.C. § 1983, together with a motion to proceed in forma pauperis. (ECF		
19	Nos. 1, 2.)		
20	The federal venue statute requires that a civil action, other than one based on diversity		
21	jurisdiction, be brought only in "(1) a judicial district where any defendant resides, if all		
22	defendants are residents of the State in which the district is located, (2) a judicial district in which		
23	a substantial part of the events or omissions giving rise to the claim occurred, or a substantial part		
24	of the property that is the subject of the action is situated, or (3) if there is no district in which an		
25	action may otherwise be brought as provided in this section, any judicial district in which any		
26	defendant is subject to the court's personal jurisdiction with respect to such action." 28 U.S.C.		
27	§ 1391(b).		
28	/// 1		
		•	

## Case 1:22-cv-01357-BAM Document 3 Filed 10/25/22 Page 2 of 2

1	In this case, the defendants do not appear to reside in this district. The claims appear to	
2	have arisen in Monterey County, which is in the Northern District of California. Therefore,	
3	Plaintiff's claim should have been filed in the United States District Court for the Northern	
4	District of California. In the interest of justice, a federal court may transfer a complaint filed in	
5	the wrong district to the correct district. See 28 U.S.C. § 1406(a); Ravelo Monegro v. Rosa, 21	
6	F.3d 509, 512 (9th Cir. 2000).	
7	Accordingly, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that this matter is transferred to the United	
8	States District Court for the Northern District of California. This Court has not ruled on	
9	Plaintiff's request to proceed in forma pauperis.	
10		
11	IT IS SO ORDERED.	
12	Dated: October 25, 2022 /s/ Barbara A. McAuliffe	
13	UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE	
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28		